Chapter VI Chart Five

Of course the second problem is that the above interpretation removes the absurdity of Baasha's having invaded Judah ten years after his death (cp. IKi.15:33). Not only has all of the Baasha difficulty been resolved, it should be noted as to how much easier the explanation is to follow when one makes use of the visual aid.

2. AHAZIAH'S AGE UPON HIS ACCESSION

See <u>Dr Floyd N. Jones</u>, <u>Chronology of the Old Testament</u> - <u>Chart 5 Kings of the Divided Monarchy</u>

The age in which Jehoshaphat's grandson Ahaziah took the throne is another apparent error in Scripture:

Two and twenty years old was Ahaziah when he began to reign; and he reigned one year in Jerusalem. And his mother's name was Athaliah, the daughter of Omri king of Israel (II Ki.8:26).

Forty and two years old was Ahaziah when he began to reign, and he reigned one year in Jerusalem. His mother's name also was Athaliah the daughter of Omri (II Chr.22:2).

Ahaziah was 22, not 42 when he became sovereign of Judah. That this is the undeniable case may be seen in the simple fact that Jehoram, Ahaziah's father and predecessor, was 40 years old at the time of his death. This may be seen in that Jehoram was 32 when he ascended to the crown as coregent with Jehoshaphat and ruled 8 years (32 + 8 = 40; II Ki.8:16-17). Obviously a son cannot be 42 when his father is 40 (unless adopted? II Chr.22:9, but we think not), thus 22 is the correct age for Ahaziah; but what of the number 42 as given in II Chronicles 22:2?

For the non Biblicist, the solution is quite simple. The 42 is merely another scribal error where 42 was mistakenly written for 22. Whereby it might seem reasonable that a "four" could have inadvertently been written for the "two", such is simply not the case. A crucial problem with this rationale is that the Hebrew Text does not give numbers. Instead, the words "forty and two years" and "twenty and two years" are written out and the words for "twenty" and "forty" are considerably different. In Hebrew, "twenty" is spelled "ain-sin-resh-jod-mem" but "forty" is written "aleph-resh-beth-ain-jod-mem", a significant difference requiring far more than a mere slip of the pen or blink of the eye on the part of a scribe.

In the first place, the believing Biblicist would never have accepted such a solution as his frame of reference begins with a position of faith. Thus he reasons: "as both statements have been faithfully preserved by God to the 'jot and tittle', how can both be true – for they must so be."

The solution for this problem has been given by so many conservative scholars over the years that an attempt at referencing becomes unending. A careful comparison of the two passages reveals that the word "was" is in italics in the Authorized Version (King James) meaning that it is not actually present in the Hebrew Text. The words in italics have been added by the translators in an attempt to make the rendering smoother and clearer. They have so designated to distinguish God's words from man's. Thus the literal Hebrew idiom reads "a son of 42 years" (very similar to ISam.13:1 where that "problem" passage translates "a son of one year in his reigning") and in so doing, II Chronicles 22:2 does not demand that Ahaziah be 42 years old upon his ascension. The idiom can only be properly understood in its context. That is, the same expression may be understood differently if the context is not the same.

The solution becomes forthright as a precedence has already been established. Observe that the "problem passage" is in the Book of Chronicles. As stated heretofore, Chronicles was written around five centuries after Kings. Furthermore, as we have seen in the case concerning Baasha, Chronicles recorded an incident and referenced it to the beginning of Asa's dynasty rather than to his actual years of reign. Ahaziah's mother is Athaliah, daughter of Ahab and granddaughter to Omri; hence he is in the direct lineage of both the dynasties of Israel and Judah and moreover is said to be of "the house of Ahab" (II Chr.22:3-4).

Chapter VI Chart Five

Now the benefit of a visual display in aiding the seeker to solve the puzzle may be truly appreciated. As one refers to Chart Five in an attempt to discover the intended context of the Chronicler and remembering that he has used regnal statements with reference to the beginning of Asa's dynasty just prior to this thus establishing a nearby precedent, the solution immediately stands forth. Note that the verse in question calls attention to Omri and it may readily be seen that it is exactly the 42<sup>nd</sup> year (Judaic reckoning) of the dynasty in Israel which he founded in BC 929 when he slew Zimri. Thus the sense of Ahaziah's being "a son of 42 years" in his reigning is seen to refer to his being a son of the dynasty of Omri which was in its 42<sup>nd</sup> year. Putting the two Scriptures together reveals that Ahaziah was 22 years old when he began to reign during the 42<sup>nd</sup> year of the dynasty of Omri, of which he is also an integral part.

The point that is being stressed by the Holy Spirit who inspired the Chronicler to so write is that Ahaziah is as much the "son of Omri" as he is "the son of David". Since the Messiah was foretold as being "the son of David" (Mat.22:42), and not the "son of Omri", Ahaziah's name is deliberately omitted in the official genealogy of Christ Jesus in Matthew 1:8. That is, Ahaziah, his son Joash, and Joash's son Amaziah have been judicially removed by the Holy Spirit in Matthew due to their relationship to Ahab's and Jezebel's wicked daughter Athaliah.

Her idolatrous influence infected, as it were, the Judaic lineage and these three Kings of Judah were all charged with idolatry (1. Ahaziah, II Chr.22:3-4, the "ways of the house of Ahab"; 2. Joash, II Chr.24:17-18; 3. Amaziah II Chr.25:14-15, "gods of Edom"). As the sins of the parents are visited to the third and fourth generation (Exo.20:5, cp. Psa.109:13-14), three generations are passed over in the register in "cleansing" the Messianic lineage so that Messiah may be said to be the "son of David" and none other. Thus it may be seen that these two Scriptures (II Chr.22:2; Mat.1:8), both long held to be erroneous, actually sustain and explain one another.

If it be doubted that the Holy Spirit's omission of these three names in Matthew 1:8 is deliberate, let the skeptic note that the names of three High Priests, (Amariah [Jehoshaphat's], Jehoiada [Athaliah's etc.], and Zechariah [Joash's]) all of whom officiated during this time frame, are also not found in the official register. Moreover Jehoiada was one of the finest priests since Samuel (II Chr.24:16) and yet his name is omitted from the genealogical roll (I Chr.6:1-15, cp. Ezr.7:1-5; also see II Ki.11:4-19; 12:2; II Chr. 22-24). Jehoiada lived 130 years (II Chr.24:15) so was alive in the days of Rehoboam, perhaps even back to the time of Solomon. He would have reached the age of assuming the full priesthood during the middle of the reign of Asa and was the high priest at the time of Athaliah's overthrow and the installment of little Joash to his rightful throne (II Chr.23:8c, 18-20; 24:6).

Why are their names missing? Although a conclusive answer for all three is not known, perhaps it was due in part to their association with several of the monarchs. That notwithstanding, sufficient reasons are to be found related to Jehoiada's having been excluded. First, it was he who made the plural marriages for young Joash, undoubtedly in an attempt to insure a male heir to David's throne. Such marriages were not only wrong in God's eyes, but by so doing Jehoiada displayed a lack of faith that God Himself would perform the promise to David that he would not lack a son who could occupy the throne (II Sam.7; Psa.89:19-37).

In addition, Jehoiada, for unexplained cause, was not diligent in obeying the King's orders to raise funds and repair the Temple which had suffered damage at the hands of the sons of Athaliah and Jehoram (II Chr.24:7), a deed for which they lost their lives (II Chr.21:16-17). Especially in his position as High Priest, Jehoiada's delay was an affront both to Joash and the Lord. After being reproved by Joash, Jehoiada did repent and actively pursued the King's wishes (II Ki.12:4-16; II Chr.24:4-14).

Lastly, it is also possible that, in deference to Jehoshaphat, Jehoiada may have performed the marriage of Jehoram to Athaliah – if indeed such ceremonies were required to be discharged by the

Chapter VI Chart Five

Hebrew priests at that period. Though Jehoram can in no way be conceived as having been a man of God, this marriage between the Baal worshipping family of Ahab to the dynasty supposedly committed to Jehovah was opposed, at least in principle, to the many Scriptural instances which teach against such an unequal yoke. Through this union Jehoshaphat apparently hoped to secure the peace and eventually reunite the divided kingdom, but this attempt in the wisdom of the flesh proved disastrous for his realm.

In any event, by his omission, the Lord showed that He was no respecter of the person of men and that even the names of godly high priests would be removed in order to underscore God's displeasure with some of their deeds. The fact that three high priests' names are found to be omitted over the same general time frame as that of the three missing monarchs in Matthew 1:8 must be viewed by all honest students of Scripture as more than mere coincidence. Such must be seen as confirming the affirmed deliberate nature of the happenstance found in Matthew.

Finally, to any who may still harbor doubt over this matter, the converse is there still confronting him and requiring a responsible explanation. That is, whereas he may continue discounting the validity of the II Chronicles 22:2 passage, the undeniable awkward fact glares back at him from the chart — it just happens to be precisely 42 years from Ahaziah's enthronement back to the commencement of his maternal great grandfather's dynasty. Is not this more than an unhappy circumstance to be brushed aside as meaningless, and does it not enjoin the deepest reflection by all lettered men of integrity?

#### 3 THE JEHOAHAZ - JEHOASH CONNECTION

A difficulty is often perceived in relation to Jehoahaz, King of Israel, and his son Jehoash. The problem arises because Jehoahaz is said to (1) succeed his father Jehu on the throne in the twenty-third year of Joash, King of Judah (II Ki.13:1), and (2) reign seventeen years; yet Jehoash is said to have begun reigning in the thirty-seventh year of King Joash of Judah, continuing for sixteen years (II Ki.13:10). The enigma is compounded by the fact that Joash is said to have ruled over the southern kingdom forty years, being followed by his son Amaziah in the second year of Jehoash of Israel (II Ki.12:1, cp. II Ki.14:1; Chart 5).

However contradictory all of this appears, when the triangulation formula is applied and the data diagrammed, the problem is quickly resolved. A small three year gap appears between the long side opposite the base and the short third side of the triangle indicating that Jehoahaz installed Jehoash as his viceroy (pro-rex) during the thirty-seventy year of Joash. After a term of nearly 3 years of so functioning, Jehoahaz died leaving the throne to Jehoash who continued sixteen years as sole-rex.

The distinction between the positions of viceroy and co-rex is significant in that a viceroy does not possess the broader authority and powers of a co-regent. A further distinction which naturally follows is that years served in the capacity as co-regent are included along with the years served in the capacity of sole-rex in reckoning the total term of reign whereas the years passed as merely a viceroy (pro-rex) are not. An example of the former is that of Jehoshaphat's son Jehoram who is credited with an eight year tenure even though about half of it was served as a co-regent with his father and only about four years as sole-rex (II Ki.8:16-17).

The latter (viceroy or pro-rex) may be seen in regard to this same Jehoram as he is also said to have been placed in some royal capacity during the seventeenth year of Jehoshaphat; yet this undisclosed term is not added to his total (II Ki.1:17, cp. I Ki.22:51). This action was necessitated due to Syrian incursions originating from the strategically located fortress city of Ramoth-gilead on the eastern border of the northern kingdom which had been taken some three years earlier and/or due to Jehoshaphat's preoccupation with his shipbuilding venture at Ezion-geber at the north end of the eastern arm of the Red Sea (modern Gulf of Aqaba near Elath) with Ahaziah of Israel who was serving as co-regent with his father Ahab that year. The following year, Jehoshaphat agreed to join

## **Standard Bearers Browser**

Louis Kole

#### Vision

It is the mission of Standard Bearers to present the Biblical and Historical doctrine of Inerrancy; teaching the Bible is 100% pure; inerrant in the copy which we hold in our hands today. Our goal is to strengthen the faith of Pastors, Teachers and Laymen in the authenticity and authority of the 100% pure, inerrant Word of God, knowing ~ "So then faith cometh by hearing, hearing by the word of God" (Roman 10:17).

# Share

Prayerful consider using the resources contained in the Standard Bearers Browser (next two pages) for: your Sermon preparation, Bible Study class, to forward to others and post to your Social media. For more, go to the Standard Bearers home page (www.standardbearers.net) for an overview of the Biblical and Historical Doctrine of Inerrancy. For another quick read see, Retaking the Hill of Biblical Inerrancy: The Next Reformation~ The Westminster Confession Rejection of the Chicago Statement.

# **Teaching**

For a presentation by Dr. Floyd Nolen Jones, Ph.D, Th.D. on any of these topics: Chronology of the Old Testament; Creation & Evolution, Science & the Bible, The Identity of the Text of the New Testament or The Biblical & Historical Doctrine of Inerrancy, please contact me; Louis Kole at, kolelm@gmail.com.

### **Exhort**

You can know for yourself the identity of the 100% pure; inerrant, preserved copy of the Word of God by the aid of the Holy Spirit; the Author, Superintendent and Teacher of the Word of God. This is the promise of God and the witness of the saints.

"Howbeit when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth: for he shall not speak of himself; but whatsoever he shall hear, that shall he speak: and he will shew you things to come. He shall glorify me: for he shall receive of mine, and shall shew [it] unto you." (John 16:13-14)

"But the anointing which ye have received of him abideth in you, and ye need not that any man teach you: but as the same anointing teacheth you of all things, and is truth, and is no lie, and even as it hath taught you, ye shall abide in him." (1 John 2:27)

## Francis Turretin<sup>1</sup> 1623-1687 (brackets and emphasis mine):

"By **original** texts, we do not mean the **autographs** [originals] written by the hand of Moses, of the prophets and the apostles, which certainly do not now exist. We mean their **apographs** <sup>2</sup> [perfect copy; genuine original; 'authentical'] which are so called because they set forth to us the word of God in the **very words** of those who wrote under the **immediate inspiration** of the Holy Spirit." <sup>3</sup>

God bless.

Louis M Kole

Hymn ~ Come, Gracious Spirit- Heavenly Dove!

"Behold, I come quickly: hold that fast which thou hast, that no man take thy crown." (Revelation 3:11)

<sup>1</sup>Gerstner, called Turretin, "the most precise theologian in the Calvinistic tradition." 'Turretin on Justification' an audio series by John Gerstner (1914-1996) a Professor of Church History at Pittsburgh Theological Seminary and Knox Theological Seminary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Apograh means "a perfect copy, an exact transcript". This is the same witness of the authors of the Westminster Confession when they described their copy of the Word of God as 'authentical', which Webster's 1828 dictionary defines as "having a genuine original".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Turretin, *Institutes of Elenctic Theology*, (Phillipsburg: Presbyterian and Reformed, 1992 reprint), 1:106, See also Robert Barnett, "Francis Turretin on the Holy Scriptures," a paper presented at the annual meeting of the Dean Burgon Society held at Calvary Baptist Church, Ontario, Canada, in 1995.

## **Standard Bearers Browser**

Louis Kole

#### Resources

Enjoy the following works provided by *Standard Bearers* on the Biblical and Historical doctrine of Inerrancy. I encourage you to share these documents by using the link, since they're being regularly updated.

# Dr. Floyd Nolen Jones

# • Works of Dr Jones

Works is a PDF portfolio of *all* the Works of Dr. Jones listed below (except the charts from his Chronology of the Old Testament). **Please allow a moment for this PDF portfolio to open**.

- An Analytical Red Letter Chronology of the Life of Christ as Revealed in the 4 Gospels and placed in a Harmony Format: A Return to the Historical Text Dr Floyd Nolen Jones PhD ThD
- The Gospel Colophons and the Synoptic Problem Dr Floyd Nolen Jones PhD ThD
- The Septuagint: A Critical Analysis
- Chronology of the Old Testament: A Return to the Basics

In this book, Dr. Jones provides a systematic framework of the chronology of the Bible from Genesis through the life of Christ and it comes with a CD containing 14 chronology charts. In addition, a set of full-size prints can be obtained at: A&E-The Graphics Complex (713) 621-0022; 4235 Richmond Avenue, Houston, Texas 77027; Reference Quote Number: IQ9209 (Floyd Jones Charts).

# Excerpts from Dr. Jones' Chronology of the Old Testament

- 40 Years after What? The date of Absalom's Rebellion ~ Chapter 5 excerpt (p.105)
- ♦ Jehoiachin (Jeconiah) Age 8 or 18? ~ Chapter 6 excerpt (p.202)

# • Chronology Charts by Dr. Jones

The Chronology Charts is a PDF portfolio of *all* the Charts by Dr. Jones from his book, Chronology of the Old Testament. Please allow a moment for the PDF portfolio to open.

Individual Charts by Dr. Jones from, Chronology of the Old Testament

- ♦ Chart 1 ~ Creation to Jesus Christ
- ♦ Chart 2 ~ Jacob's Age Determined
- ♦ Chart 3 ~ 430 Years Sojourn
- ♦ Chart 3A ~ The 4 Generations of Genesis
- ♦ Chart 3B ~ Scenarios for Judah's Family in Egypt
- ♦ Chart 3CDEF ~ Jacob and Judah
- ♦ Chart 4 ~ Judges to the First 3 Kings
- ♦ Chart 4AB ~ Judges Tested by Judah's Lineage
- ♦ Chart 5 ~ Kings of the Divided Monarchy
- ♦ Chart 5A ~ Kings of the Divided Monarchy
- ♦ Chart 5C ~ Kings of the Divided Monarchy
- ♦ Chart 6 ~ Creation to Creator
- ♦ Chart 7 ~ 390 Years Confirmed

# • Which Version is the Bible?

Excerpts from Dr. Jones' Which Version Is The Bible?

- ♦ Mark 16 last Verses ~ Chapter 2 (p.30)
- ♦ The 1881 Revision KJB ~ Chapter 3 (p.49)
- ♦ How Princeton Was Corrupted ~ Chapter 8 (p.186)
- ♦ How the Conservative Seminaries Were Corrupted ~ Chapter 8 (p.189)
- ♦ The Criticism Today: The Age of Miniscules ~ Chapter 9 (p.202)
- ♦ Pericope De Adultera John 8 ~ Appendix A (p.219)
- ♦ The Johannine Comma 1John 5 ~ Appendix B (p.231)
- ♦ Examples of Modern Criticism ~ Appendix C (p.241)
- ♦ History of Texts Transmission ~ Appendix D (p.247)

## **Standard Bearers Browser**

#### Louis Kole

### Louis M Kole

• Works of Louis M Kole

Works is a PDF portfolio of *all* the papers by Louis Kole listed below. Please allow a moment for this PDF portfolio to open.

- How We Know The Bible Is True: 100% Pure, Inerrant
  - ~ The Biblical and Historical Doctrine of Inerrancy (standard bearers home page)
- Letter To A Pastor: How Shall They Hear Without A Preacher?
  - ~So then Faith Cometh By Hearing, and Hearing By the Word of God (custodianship of the Word of God)
- Textual Criticism 101: Theological, Faith-Based versus Naturalistic, Rationalistic
  - ~ <u>Believing</u> or <u>Neutral</u> to Divine Inspiration, Divine Preservation, Divine Identification (textual criticism)
- Preaching and Loss: Peer Pressure and the Fear of the Lord
  - ~ Why the Tempest? The Foolishness of Preaching (the duty of a watchman)
- Retaking the Hill of Biblical Inerrancy: The Next Reformation
  - ~ The Westminster Confession Rejection of the Chicago Statement (overview in a nutshell)
- Divine Preservation: How We 'Lost' the Doctrine of the Divine Preservation of the Word of God
  - ~ 3 Centuries of Sound Doctrine ~ Eradicated in 3 Generations of Neglect (the error)
- God's Standard Bearers: The Josiah Initiative
  - ~ Witnesses to the 100% Pure Copy of Word of God (proof texts & state of our witness)
- The Fear of The Lord: Restoring the Biblical Doctrine of Inerrancy
  - ~ The Fear of Man verses the Fear of the Lord (flagship paper)
- A Call To Revival: Restoring the Foundations
  - ~ If the Foundations Be Destroyed What Can the Righteous Do? ("hath God said?")
- The Josiah Initiative: Countering The Assault Upon the Inerrancy of the Word of God
  - ~ How are the Mighty Fallen and the Weapons of War Perished! (a call to action)
- The 'Lost' Doctrine: Can A Doctrine 'Die' Which Is a Fundamental Truth of the Faith?
  - ~ The 1000 Year 'Death and Rebirth' of the Doctrine of Justification by Grace Alone (lesson from the past)

## Dr. Jeffrey Khoo

• Can Verbal Plenary Inspiration Do Without Verbal Plenary Preservation?: The Achilles' Heel Of Princeton Bibliology (FEBC) a must read

### Dr. Edward F Hills

- ullet Scholasticism Versus the Logic of Faith  $\sim$  Excerpt from A History of My Defence of the King James Version (FEBC)
- The King James Version Defended

# Dr. Wilbur N Pickering

- What Is Eclecticism? ~ Excerpt from The Identity of the New Testament Text
- The Identity of the New Testament Text

## More...

- Bible audio
- Songs ~ Hymns of Worship from the Standard Bearers' play list
- Bible teaching ~ Audio by Dr Floyd Nolen Jones
- Bible teaching ~ TV by Dr Floyd Nolen Jones from the Standard Bearers' channel
- Bible teaching ~ TV by Dr Charles Stanley
- Bible resources ~ Blue Letter Bible digital Bible and study tools
- Dictionary ~ Noah Webster's 1828 Digital dictionary
- Devotional ~ Oswald Chamber's My Utmost for His Highest

# Hymn ~ We Rest on Thee, Our Shield and Our Defender!

"Behold, I come quickly: hold that fast which thou hast, that no man take thy crown." (Revelation 3:11)